1	THOMAS R. BURKE (CA State Bar No. 141930 DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP)	
2	505 Montgomery Street, Suite 800		
3	San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone:(415) 276-6500		
4	Facsimile: (415) 276-6599 Email: thomasburke@dwt.com		
5	LAURA HANDMAN (appearing <i>pro hac vice</i>) CHELSEA T. KELLY (appearing <i>pro hac vice</i>)		
6	DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP 1301 K Street NW, Suite 500 East		
7	Washington, DC 20005 Telephone:(202) 973-4200		
8	Facsimile: (202) 973-4499 Email: laurahandman@dwt.com		
9	chelseakelly@dwt.com LACY H. KOONCE, III (appearing pro hac vice)		
10	KLARIS LAW 29 Little West 12 th Street		
11	New York, NY 10014 Telephone: (917) 612-5861		
12	Email: lance.koonce@klarislaw.com		
13	Attorneys for Defendants GREENPEACE INTERNATIONAL (aka "GREE		
14	COUNCIL"), GREENPEACE, INC., DANIEL B ROLF SKAR	RINDIS, AMY MOAS, and	
15	IN THE UNITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT	
16	THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, OAKLAND DIVISION		
17			
18	RESOLUTE FOREST PRODUCTS, INC., RESOLUTE FP US, INC., RESOLUTE FP	Case No. 4:17-cv-02824-JST	
19	AUGUSTA, LLC, FIBREK GENERAL PARTNERSHIP, FIBREK U.S., INC., FIBREK	JOINT ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER A NON-PARTY'S MATERIAL SHOULD BE SEALED	
20	INTERNATIONAL INC., and RESOLUTE FP CANADA, INC.,	PURSUANT TO CIVIL LOCAL RULES 7- 11 AND 79-5	
21	Plaintiffs,		
22	v.	Complaint Filed: May 31, 2016 Amended Complaint Filed: November 8, 2017	
23	GREENPEACE INTERNATIONAL (aka	7 michaed Complaint Fried. 140 vember 6, 2017	
24	"GREENPEACE STICHTING COUNCIL"), GREENPEACE, INC., GREENPEACE FUND, INC. EODESTETHICS, DANIEL BRINDS		
25	INC., FORESTETHICS, DANIEL BRINDIS, AMY MOAS, MATTHEW DAGGETT, ROLF		
26	SKAR, TODD PAGLIA, and JOHN AND JANE DOES 1 through 20, inclusive,		
27	Defendants.		
28	[

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Pursuant to Local Rules 79-5 and 7-11 and the Stipulated Protective Order entered by this Court on January 27, 2020 (ECF No. 303), Plaintiffs Resolute Forest Products, Inc., Resolute FP US, Inc., Resolute FP Augusta, LLC, Fibrek General Partnership, Fibrek U.S., Inc., Fibrek International, Inc., and Resolute FP Canada, Inc. (collectively, "Plaintiffs"), and Defendants Greenpeace International, Greenpeace, Inc., Daniel Brindis, Amy Moas, and Rolf Skar (collectively, "Defendants"), hereby submit this Joint Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Another Party's Material Should Be Sealed, pursuant to Civil Local Rules 7-11 and 79-5(f). In support of this Motion, the parties state as follows:

- 1. Under the parties' Protective Order, a non-party may designate material that it produces in discovery as "Highly Confidential" if it in good faith believes that the material warrants such designation due to its "extreme sensitivity, proprietary or personal nature." ECF No. 303 ¶ 2.7. Third-party CounterPoint Strategies, Ltd. (hereinafter, "CounterPoint") has produced documents in discovery and designated some as "Highly Confidential."
- 2. When Defendants filed the Joint Discovery Letter Brief on January 28, 2022 (ECF No. 423)—and later again on February 4, 2022 (ECF No. 427) after the correction of some procedural issues—they inadvertently attached an exhibit on the public docket that Counterpoint had designated as "Highly Confidential." See ECF Nos. 423-3 & 427-4. Additionally, a second exhibit submitted by Plaintiffs contained some of the same information as the exhibit that CounterPoint designated as "Highly Confidential," although the exhibit itself was not so designated. See ECF Nos. 423-4 & 427-1. The text of the joint discovery letter itself also quotes from the document designated as "Highly Confidential." See ECF Nos. 423 (page 2, lines 3-10; page 3, lines 8-11) & 427 (page 1, line 25; page 2, lines 1-5; page 3, lines 5-7).
- 3. Local Rule 79-5(f) provides that: "For any document a party ('Filing Party') seeks to seal because that document has been designated as confidential by another party or non-party (the

'Designating Party'), the Filing Party must, instead of filing an Administrative Motion to File Under Seal, file an Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Another Party's Material Should Be Sealed " The Rule further requires the Filing Party to serve the motion on the Designating Party the same day the motion is filed, so that the Designating Party may, within seven days, file a statement and/or declaration setting forth the grounds for sealing the identified documents.

- 4. Accordingly, under Local Rule 79-5(f), the parties respectfully request that the Court remove ECF Nos. 423 and 427 (and their respective exhibits) from the public docket until the Court determines whether the material at issue warrants sealing. The parties further request that the redacted version of the pending joint discovery letter at issue and its exhibits, filed simultaneously with this Joint Administrative Motion under Local Rule 79-5(d-e), replace ECF No. 427 (and its respective exhibits) on the public docket until the Court determines whether the material at issue warrants sealing. The parties are also attaching an un-redacted version of these documents to the Joint Administrative Motion, filed under seal. The un-redacted version of the joint discovery letter highlights the relevant text.
- 5. Finally, the parties served CounterPoint with a copy of this Joint Administrative Motion as required by Local Rule 79-5(f). CounterPoint has requested that the parties attach its declaration as an exhibit to this Joint Administrative Motion because it does not have immediate access to ECF filing credentials in the Northern District of California. The parties agreed to attach the declaration, with the caveat that they are not serving as local counsel for CounterPoint and do not necessarily endorse the contents of the declaration. Rather, the parties are filing the declaration as a courtesy to CounterPoint.

Case 4:17-cv-02824-JST Document 431 Filed 03/04/22 Page 4 of 4

	1	6. Pursuant to Local Rule 7-12, t	he parties have agreed and stipulated to the relief	
DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP	2	sought in this Joint Administrative Motion.		
	3			
	4	DATED: March 4, 2022		
	5			
	6	Respectfully submitted,		
	7	/s/ Lauren Tabaksblat	/s/ Laura Handman	
	8	Lauren Tabaksblat (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) BROWN RUDNICK LLP	Laura Handman (admitted pro hac vice) DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP	
	9	7 Times Square	1301 K Street NW, Suite 500	
	10	New York, NY 10036 Telephone: (212) 209-4800	Washington, DC 20005 Telephone: (202) 973-4200	
	11	Email: ltabaksblat@brownrudnick.com	Facsimile: (202) 973-4499	
	12	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	Email: laurahandman@dwt.com	
	13		Attorneys for Defendants Greenpeace International, Greenpeace, Inc., Daniel	
	14		Brindis, Amy Moas, and Rolf Skar	
	15			
	16			
	17			
	18			
	19			
	20			
	21			
	22			
	23			
	24			
	25			
	26			
	27			
	28			
			3	